UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,301	08/29/2005 David Jackson		23133-09966	6010
758 FENWICK & V	7590 08/19/200 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL 801 CALIFORI		LUKTON, DAVID		
	VIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Occurrence		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/525,301		JACKSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit			
			DAVID LUKTON		1654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS CON a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SIX use the application to be	IMUNICATION r, may a reply be tim ((6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 May</i>	2008					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>/</i> —		al matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disnositi	on of Claims							
-		!:4:						
•	Claim(s) 1-114 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 51-82,84-94 and 96-108 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>42-50,83 and 95</u> is/are allowed.							
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>2-41</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	lection requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accept	ted or b)⊡ objed	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pa her:	te			

Art Unit: 1654

Applicants' specie election is acknowledged, i.e., the following compound, wherein "Th" is the peptide of SEQ ID NO:1, "B" is the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, R_2 and R_3 are both palmitic acid, and "Y" is the dipeptide Ser-Ser:

$$[Th] \xrightarrow{H_2N} [B]$$

As noted previously, Group I has been elected (claims 1-50, 82, 83, 94, 95, 107, 108). Claims 51-82, 84-94, 96-108 are withdrawn from consideration at the present time. Claims 1-50, 83, 95 are examined in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim 1 is now rejected. Claims 2-41 are objected to at the present time (although one or more of these claims may be rejected in a subsequent Office action). At the present time, claims 42-50, 83 and 95 are characterized as allowable.

A

The following is a quotation of 35 USC. §103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in the Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made, absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over

BenMohamed (*Eur J Immunol* **27**, 1242-53, 1997) or BenMohamed (Vaccine 18, 2843-55, 2000).

BenMohamed discloses lipopeptides that contain both B cell and T helper cell epitopes Thus, the claim is rendered obvious.

Art Unit: 1654

A

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Nardin (*J Immunol* **166**, 481-89, 2001) or Nardin (*Vaccine* **16**, 690, 1998).

Nardin discloses lipopeptides that contain both B cell and T helper cell epitopes
Thus, the claim is rendered obvious.

A

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Tam (USP 5580563)

Tam discloses (figure 1) a vaccine in which antigens are present, and a lipophilic group is bonded to an internal lysine. Also disclosed (e.g., col 5, line 50) is that T- and B-cell epitopes can be present.

Thus, the claim is rendered obvious.

A

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

/David Lukton/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654